



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/458,917	12/10/1999	MARTIN E. NEWELL	07844-353001	9475

21876 7590 09/16/2002

FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.
500 ARGUELLO STREET
SUITE 500
REDWOOD CITY, CA 94063

EXAMINER

SAJOUS, WESNER

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2672

DATE MAILED: 09/16/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.
09/458,917

Applicant(s)
Newell et al.

Examiner
Wesner Sajous

Group Art Unit
2672



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Wesner Sajous

(3) _____

(2) David L. Feigenbaum

(4) _____

Date of Interview Sep 13, 2002

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: claim 1

Identification of prior art discussed:
US Patent 5,852,447 assigned to Hosoya et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The Applicants' representative calls to elaborate on the distinctions between the Applicants' invention and the applied prior art to Hosoya, and states that he believes that Hosoya is silent with regard to "the arbitrary target location" and the position of "canonical locations" on the curve. The Examiner in response contends that his understanding of Hosoya is--the operator of the system is believed to be able to select any desired location on the curve to allow its transformation, and that the canonical location is broadly interpreted to of any section of the curve--.


The Applicants' rep. proposes to narrow the meaning of the phrase "canonical location", and suggests to fax a draft amendments to the Examiner for review before a formal draft is mailed for entry.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


**WESNER SAJOUS
PATENT EXAMINER
ART UNIT 2672**